1 2 3 4 5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 6 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 7 AT SEATTLE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, 8 Plaintiff, 9 Case No. CR04-549-JLR 10 PROPOSED FINDINGS OF **FACT AND DETERMINATION** DUSTIN JORDAN, **AS TO ALLEGED** 11 VIOLATIONS OF SUPERVISED Defendant. 12 RELEASE 13 INTRODUCTION 14 I conducted a hearing on alleged violations of supervised release in this case on September 15 9, 2009. The defendant appeared pursuant to a warrant issued in this case. The United States 16 was represented by Ye-Ting Woo, and defendant was represented by Kevin Peck. Also present 17 was U.S. Probation Officer Donald E. Moon. The proceedings were digitally recorded. 18 SENTENCE AND PRIOR ACTION 19 Defendant was sentenced on December 12, 2005 by the Honorable James L. Robart for 20 Possession with Intent to Distribute more than 50 kilograms of Marijuana. He received 21 21 months of imprisonment and 5 years of supervised release. 22 /// 23 /// PROPOSED FINDINGS OF FACT AND DETERMINATION AS

TO ALLEGED VIOLATIONS OF SUPERVISED RELEASE- 1

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

## PRESENTLY ALLEGED VIOLATIONS AND DEFENDANT'S ADMISSION OF THE VIOLATION

In a petition dated August 3, 2009, U.S. Probation Officer Donald E. Moon alleged that defendant violated the following conditions of supervised release:

- Failing to submit truthful written reports for the months of March, April, and May 1. 2009, in violation of standard condition number 2.
- 2. Possession of methamphetamine on or about August 3, 2009, in violation of standard condition number 7.
- 3. Possession of drug paraphernalia on or about August 3, 2009, in violation of standard condition number 7.
- 4. Failing to notify the probation office at least 10 days prior to a change in residence, on or before August 3, 2009, in violation of standard condition number 6.
- 5. Manufacturing Marijuana, in violation of 21 U.S.C. § 841(b)(1)(D), on or before August 3, 2009, in violation of a general condition.

Defendant admitted the above violations, waived any hearing as to whether they occurred, and was informed the matter would be set for a disposition hearing on October 7, 2009 at 1:30 p.m. before District Judge James L. Robart.

## RECOMMENDED FINDINGS AND CONCLUSIONS

Based upon the foregoing, I recommend the court find that defendant has violated the conditions of his supervised release as alleged above, and conduct a disposition hearing.

DATED this 9<sup>th</sup> day of September, 2009.

BRIAN A. TSUCHIDA

United States Magistrate Judge